fortiss

Verification of Ada Programs with AdaHorn

T.A. Beyene, C. Herrera and V. Nigam

Ada-Europe

24th International Conference on Reliable Software Technologies

11-14 June 2019, Warsaw, Poland



AdaHorn

An Ada verification tool based which uses ASIS-based Ada compiler infrastructure as a frontend and horn constraints solving technology as a backend.

- translates Ada programs, together with properties encoded as assertion, to a set of Constrainted Horn Clauses (CHCs)
- employs off-the-shelf solvers for CHCs to verify the generated constraints and, consquently, to verify the original Ada program
- compares favourably with state of the art Ada verification technologies, albeit for a subset of Ada programs and for a class of properties that can be specified as assertions



Motivation Model checking for Ada

Model checking

- a verification technique, along with static analysis, deductive verification and theorem proving, in formal methods notably one of the most successful
- given a model of a system and a property to verify, model checking answers yes or no to the question "does the model satisfy the property?"
- Ada model checking efforts
- 2 approaches towards deveoping model checking tools
 - model checking tools follow two main approaches:
 - generate input models for existing model checkers
 - design their own model checking algorithms, e.g., BLAST, SLAM
 - Building a model checkers is a labout intensive effort, as can be seen with established tools
 - tools like CBMC or Java Path-Finder have amassed countless person-months of engineering and testing.



CHECKING

second edition

Motivation

Three-step model checking technologies

- over the recent years, this task has become a lot simpler with the increasing availability of off-the-shelf front-ends (such as LLVM for c programs) and verification back-ends (such as Z3 or Eldarica)
- with the increasing availability of such tools, the task of building a software model checker becomes just a matter of
 - (1) picking a *front-end* and a *back-end*, and
 - (2) writing *glue code* to connect them
- Recent verification competitions have shown that this approach is feasible in practice.
 - The SeaHorn C verification framework, with LLVM front-end and off-the-shelf verification back-end, was able to outperform established tools in many categories.
 - The Java model checker JayHorn uses the Soot optimization framework as a front-end and a Horn contsraints solver as a backend.
- Motivated by these developments, we have implemented AdaHorn, a software model checking tool for Ada programs.



SeaHorn (Gurfinkel et.al. CAV15)



JayHorn (Kahsai et.al. CAV16)

Architecture of AdaHorn



Ada language subset

- current implementation does not support all language features and constructs of Ada
- basic constructs of Ada that can be used to write programs of medium complexity are supported.
 - (1) integer, floating-point and boolean data types, and self-defined ranges over these types,
 - (2) arrays,
 - (3) assertions,
 - (4) while and for loops,
 - (5) procedures and functions (together with their corresponding calls), and
 - (6) if-then-else statements.



Contrained Horn Clauses (CHCs)

- clause that has at most one positive occurrence of an uninterpreted predicate.
- fragment of first-order formulas modulo background theories, where its constraints are formulated using a given background theory.
- ▶ In this work, we assume the background theory be quantifier-free linear arithmetic.

$$\begin{split} \Pi &::= HC \land \Pi \mid \top \\ HC &::= \forall vars : body \rightarrow head \\ pred &::= upred \mid \Phi \\ head &::= pred \\ body &::= \top \mid pred \mid body \land body \\ vars &::= the set of all variables in a given clause \\ upred &::= an uninterpreted predicate applied to terms \\ \Phi &::= a formula whose terms and predicates are interpreted over A \end{split}$$

- implemented by our glue code, the constraint generation procedure is key contribution of this work
 - employs gnat2xml utility from the GNAT compliler infrastructure to generate an XML abstract syntax tree (AST) for a given Ada program
 - performs a **top-down**, **recursive descent** through the XML syntax tree generating corresponding CHCs along the way
- two main considerations in this Ada to CHCs translation
 - encoding program states, which are valuations of program variables, at certain critical parts of the program such as loop entry and exit, procedure/function call and return, etc.,
 - (2) encoding state transitions that occur during the execution of the program by translating involved Ada constructs into their corresponding CHC.



Example Ada program



(1) Project file



(2) Specification file



(3) Implementation file

Generated CHCs

```
1
       (declare-fun gmain s0 (Int Int) Bool)
2
       (declare-fun gmain s1 (Int Int) Bool)
3
       (declare-const gmain call init Bool)
4
       (declare-const gmain call end Bool)
5
6
       (declare-fun Prog1_Sum_s0 (Int) Bool)
7
       (declare-fun Prog1_Sum_call_init (Int) Bool)
8
       (declare-fun Prog1 Sum call ret (Int) Bool)
9
10
       (assert (=> true gmain call init))
11
       (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (and (= res 0) (= x 0) gmain_call_init))
           (gmain s0 res x))))
12
       (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s0 res x) (Prog1_Sum_call_init x)
           )))
13
       (assert (forall ((j Int)) (=> (Prog1_Sum_call_init j) (Prog1_Sum_s0 j))))
14
       (assert (forall ((j Int) (RetV Int)) (=> (and (= RetV (+ j 10)) (Prog1 Sum s0))
           j)) (Prog1 Sum call ret RetV))))
15
       (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int) (res' Int) (_RetVV Int))(=> (and (gmain_s0
           res x) (Prog1 Sum call ret RetVV) (= res' RetVV)) (gmain s1 res' x))))
16
       (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain s1 res x) gmain call end)))
```

Generated CHCs

1 with prog1; 2 procedure gmain is 3 res, x: Integer:= 0; 4 begin 5 res:= prog1.sum(x); 6 end gmain;

(1) Project file

2 package prog1 is

- function sum(j: in out Integer)
- return Integer;
- 5 end prog1;

6

(2) Specification file

- 2 package body prog1;
- 3 function sum (j: in out Integer)
- 4 return Integer is
- 5 begin 6 re
 - return j+10;
- 7 end sum;
- 8 end prog1;

(3) Implementation file

- (declare-fun gmain_s0 (Int Int) Bool) (declare-fun gmain_s1 (Int Int) Bool) (declare-const gmain call init Bool)
- (declare const gmain call end Bool)
- (declare-fun Prog1_Sum_s0 (Int) Bool)
- (declare-fun Prog1_Sum_call_init (Int) Bool)
- (declare-fun Prog1_Sum_call_ret (Int) Bool)

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

- (assert (=> true gmain_call_init))
- (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (and (= res 0) (= x 0) gmain_call_init) (gmain_s0 res x))))
- 12 (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s0 res x) (Prog1_Sum_call_init x))))
 - (assert (forall ((j Int)) (=> (Prog1_Sum_call_init j) (Prog1_Sum_s0 j))))
 - (assert (forall ((j Int) (_RetV Int)) (=> (and (= _RetV (+ j 10)) (Prog1_Sum_s0 j)) (Prog1_Sum_call_ret _RetV))))
- 15 (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int) (res' Int) (_RetVV Int))(=> (and (gmain_s0 res x) (Prog1_Sum_call_ret _RetVV) (= res' _RetVV)) (gmain_s1 res' x))))
- 16 (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s1 res x) gmain_call_end)))

Generated CHCs



1	(declare fun amein al (Int Int) Real)								
1	(declare - fun gmain_s0 (Int Int) Bool)								
2	(declare-fun gmain_s1 (Int Int) Bool)								
3	(declare-const gmain_call_init Bool)								
4	(declare-const gmain_call_end Bool)								
5									
6	(declare-fun Progl Sum s0 (Int) Bool)								
7	(declare fun llogi_sum_so (litt) bool) (declare fun Bregi Sum cell init (Int) Beel)								
/	(declare - lun Progl_Sum_call_init (int) Bool)								
8	(declare-fun Progl_Sum_call_ret (Int) Bool)								
9									
10	(assert (=> true gmain_call_init))								
11	(assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (and (= res 0) (= x 0) gmain_call_init)								
	(gmain_s0 res x))))								
12	(assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s0 res x) (Prog1_Sum_call_init x)								
13	(assert (forall ((j Int)) (=> (Prog1_Sum_call_init j) (Prog1_Sum_s0 j))))								
14	(assert (forall ((j Int) (_RetV Int)) (=> (and (= _RetV (+ j 10)) (Prog1_Sum_s0								
	j)) (Prog1_Sum_call_ret _RetV))))								
15	(assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int) (res' Int) (RetVV Int))(=> (and (gmain s0)								
	res x) (Prog1 Sum call ret RetVV) (- res' RetVV)) (gmain s1 res' x))))								
16	(ascent (forell ((regulation (regulation)))) = (ascent (forell ((regulation (regulation)))))								
10	(assert (lorall ((res int) (x int)) (=> (gmain_si res x) gmain_call_end)))								

(3) Implementation file

Generated CHCs



(3) Implementation file

(declare-fun gmain s0 (Int Int) Bool)
(declare - fun gmain s1 (Int Int) Bool)
(declare-const gmain call init Bool)
(declare-const gmain_call_end Bool)
(declare-fun Prog1 Sum s0 (Int) Bool)
(declare-fun Progl_Sum_call_init (Int) Bool)
(declare-fun Prog1_Sum_call_ret (Int) Bool)
(assert (=> true gmain_call_init))
(assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (and (= res 0) (= x 0) gmain_call_init)
(gmain_s0 res x))))
(assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s0 res x) (Prog1_Sum_call_init x)
)))
(assert (forall ((j Int)) (=> (Prog1_Sum_call_init j) (Prog1_Sum_s0 j))))
(assert (forall ((j Int) (_RetV Int)) (=> (and (= _RetV (+ j 10)) (Prog1_Sum_s0
j)) (Progl_Sum_call_ret _RetV))))
(assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int) (res' Int) (_RetVV Int))(=> (and (gmain_s0
res x) $(Progl_Sum_call_ret_RetVV) (= res (RetVV)) (gmain_sl_res (x))))$
(assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s1 res x) gmain_call_end)))

Generated CHCs



	(declare-fun gmain_s0 (Int Int) Bool) (declare-fun gmain_s1 (Int Int) Bool) (declare-const gmain_call_init Bool) (declare-const gmain_call_end Bool)									
(declare-fun Prog1_Sum_s0 (Int) Bool) (declare-fun Prog1_Sum_call_init (Int) Bool)										
	(declare-fun Prog1_Sum_call_ret (Int) Bool)									
	<pre>(assert (=> true gmain_call_init)) (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (and (= res 0) (= x 0) gmain_call_init) (gmain_s0 res x)))) (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s0 res x) (Prog1_Sum_call_init x))))</pre>									
	(assert (forall ((j Int)) (=> (Prog1_Sum_call_init j) (Prog1_Sum_s0 j)))) (assert (forall ((j Int) (_RetV Int)) (=> (and (= _RetV (+ j 10)) (Prog1_Sum_s0									
	<pre>(assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int) (res' Int) (_RetVV Int))(=> (and (gmain_s0 res x) (Prog1_Sum_call_ret _RetVV) (= res' _RetVV)) (gmain_s1 res' x)))) (assert (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s1 res x) gmain_call_end)))</pre>									

Constraint Solving

• Generated constriant is solved using an off-the-shelf solver for horn constrains

89	<pre>(=> true gmain_call_init) (forall ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (and (= res 0) (= x 0) gmain_call_init) (gmain_s0_res x)))</pre>	Z3	
10	$(for all ((res Int) (x Int)) (=> (gmain_s0 res x))$		
	<pre>sum_call_init x)))</pre>		
11	(forall ((j Int)) (=> (sum_call_init j) (sum_s0 j)))		
12	(for all ((j Int) (RetV Int)) (=> (and (= RetV (+ j 10)))		
	(sum_s0 j)) (sum_call_ret _RetV)))		
13	(forall ((res Int) (x Int) (res' Int) (RetVV Int))(=> (
	and (gmain s0 res x) (sum call ret BetVV) (= res '	ELDARICA	
	RetVV)) (gmain s1 res', x)))		
14	(fonoll ((nos Int) (x Int)) (-> (cmoin s1 nos x))		
14	$(10rall ((res Int))(x Int)) (=> (gmaln_s1 res x)$		
<u> </u>	gmain_call_end))		

Evaluation

Experimental setup

- compare with GNATProve
- propose and uses Ada benchmarks inspired by C programs from the competition SV-COMP 2017
 - at most 60 lines of code each
 - classifies into four categories: Arrays, Floats, Loops, and RT-Properties
 - selected benchmarks expressible in our subset of Ada
- Given an Ada program with an assertion, the verification tools are tasked with
 - (1) proving the assertion occurring is valid, in which case the tools should return SAT, or
 - (2) demonstrating the assertion is not valid, i.e., it is possible to violate it, in which case the tools should return UNSAT.
- Comparing the expected result and the actual result, the results of our experiments are classified into the following four categories: TP, TN, FP, and FN.
 - in addition, Unknown and Timout results are also possible

Evaluation

Experimental result

Benchmarks	Problems	GNATProve				AdaHorn						
		TP	TN	FP	FN	TO	TP	TN	FP	FN	TO	UN
Arrays	20	1	0	19	0	0	8	10	1	0	1	0
Floats	20	1	4	13	2	0	5	8	3	0	0	4
Loops	20	4	2	14	0	0	7	13	0	0	0	0
RT-Properties	8	0	5	2	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0

- GNATProve verifies each benchmark within 3 seconds
 - correct results only for 17 cases
 - outputs 48 false positives and 2 false negatives!
- following obervations can explain these outputs
 - GNATProve performs intermediate checks before checking assertions, and GNATProve assumes previous checks have been successful.
 - succesive checks are not analyzed by GNATProve after previous check has failed (see Paragraph 7.3.4 in [22]).
 - the analysis can be improved by adding manual annotations to the program (this is call "direct justification" [22]).

- AdaHorn verifies each benchmark within 60 seconds
 - correct results for 59 cases and no false negative
 - 4 false positives, 4 unknown and 1 timeout (use floating-point data types)
- AdaHorn over-approximates floats with reals for performance purposes of the used solvers, and it looks to lead to numerical precision differences with the Ada compiler.





Dr. Tewodros A. Beyene

Contact



fortiss GmbH

An-Institut Technische Universität München Guerickestraße 25 · 80805 München · Germany

tel +49 89 3603522 24 fax +49 89 3603522 50

beyene@fortiss.org www.fortiss.org



©2019

This presentation was created by fortiss. It is for presentation determined only and strictly confidential. The distribution of the presentation to our partners includes no transfer of ownership or usage rights. A transfer to third parties is not permitted.